

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/624,863 | Applicant(s) AMELLAL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Weilun Lo | Art Unit 3747 | |

All Participants:

(1) Weilun Lo.

(2) Mr. Matthew Schmidt.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:
art of record, particularly US 6000426A
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreement was reached to cancel claims 25-33 without prejudice and subject to filing of a Divisional application. Claims 1-24 will be allowed due to the findings that the prior art does not show or render obvious the claims drawn to the elected embodiment(s), particularly to a fuel flow control device having a fill tube that includes an outlet end being responsive to the level of liquid fuel in the fuel tank so that the outlet end remains in the area of the surface level of liquid fuel in the fuel tank. Claims 25-33 does not require the diffuser to be responsive to the level of liquid fuel in the fuel tank so that the diffuser remains in the area of the surface level of liquid fuel in the fuel tank. Furthermore, US 6000426A appears to show a diffuser with at least the features claimed in claim 25.